

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,861	06/25/2003	Peter C. Kempf	1495 US	2101
20346	7590 01/23/2004		EXAM	INER
KEY SAFETY SYSTEMS, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT 5300 ALLEN K BREED HIGHWAY			PAPE, JOSEPH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	FL 33811-1130		3612	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Đ		10/602,861	KEMPF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Joseph D. Pape	3612	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply		vith the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by see the period by the Office later than three months after the reply attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ion Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∐ approved b)∏	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has	been received.	
Attachme		•		
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/602,861

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 4, it is thought that –a—should be inserted after "for".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 3-6 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding claims 3-6 (Figures 1-2), the recitation of a hollow body including a window wiper or anti-freeze fluid reservoir is not enabled in the description in that it is unclear how the hollow body reservoir would be filled while being sealed within the inner and outer hood panels and it is unclear how the reservoir would be functionally associated with the windshield cleaner spray nozzle and the radiator. Regarding claims

10-13 (Figures 3-4), the recitation of a hollow body including a window wiper or antifreeze fluid reservoir is not enabled in the description in that it is unclear how the hollow body reservoir is retained in an inverted position within the holes 40 and it is unclear how the reservoir would be functionally associated with the windshield cleaner spray nozzle and the radiator. These claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 9 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taghaddos.

Taghaddos discloses the claimed invention including energy absorbing bodies within a vehicle hood with some of the bodies aligned with hard points of the vehicle and wherein the bodies may be filled with foam. See Figure 1 and column 2, lines 59.

Page 4

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references relate to the current invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (703) 308-3426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri. (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Joseph D. Pape

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp

January 21, 2004